



MUNICIPAL OFFICE COMPLEX
8401 W. MONROE STREET
PEORIA, AZ 85345

**CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING
AND STUDY SESSION
NOTICE & AGENDA**

Tuesday, September 13, 2011

5:00 PM

CITY COUNCIL CHAMBER

CITY COUNCIL:

Mayor
Bob Barrett

Mesquite District
Cathy Carlat, Vice Mayor

Acacia District
Tony Rivero

Ironwood District
Dave Pearson

Palo Verde District
Ron Aames

Pine District
Carlo Leone

Willow District
Joan Evans

City Manager
Carl Swenson

**CONVENE:
PLEDGE:
ROLL CALL:**

**FINAL CALL TO SUBMIT SPEAKER REQUEST
FORMS:**

CONSENT AGENDA

CONSENT AGENDA: All items listed with "C" are considered to be routine or have been previously reviewed by the City Council, and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless a Councilmember so requests; in which event the item will be removed from the General Order of Business, and considered in its normal sequence on the Agenda.

CONSENT – New Business:

1C Authorization to Hold an Executive Session

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 38-431.03: Discussion and possible action to authorize the holding of an Executive Session for the purpose of discussion with legal counsel for legal advice on appeal of Solberg vs. Arizona State Retirement System. (Pursuant to A.R.S § 38-431.03(A)(3)).

STUDY SESSION AGENDA

Subjects for Discussion Only:

1. Red Light Camera Update
2. Council Subcommittees

CALL TO THE PUBLIC: (NON-AGENDA ITEMS)

Your comments pertaining to City Council business are welcome. However, if you wish to address the City Council, please complete a Speaker Request Form and return it to the clerk before the call to order for this meeting. The City Council is not authorized by state law to discuss or take action on any issue raised by public comment until a later meeting.

Reports from the City Manager:

1. Council Calendar
2. Reports with Presentation
 - a. Budget Update

**Reports from City Council:
Reports from the Mayor:**

ADJOURNMENT

NOTE: Documentation (if any) for items listed on the Agenda is available for public inspection, a minimum of 24 hours prior to the Council Meeting, at any time during regular business hours in the Office of the City Clerk, 8401 W. Monroe Street, Room 150, Peoria, AZ 85345.

Accommodations for Individuals with Disabilities. Alternative format materials, sign language interpretation, assistive listening devices or interpretation in languages other than English are available upon 72 hours advance notice through the Office of the City Clerk, 8401 West Monroe Street, Room 150, Peoria, Arizona 85345 (623)773-7340, TDD (623)773-7221, or FAX (623) 773-7304. To the extent possible, additional reasonable accommodations will be made available within the time constraints of the request.

**CITY OF PEORIA, ARIZONA
COUNCIL COMMUNICATION**

SS#1

Date Prepared: August 28, 2011

Council Meeting Date: September 13, 2011

TO: Carl Swenson, City Manager

FROM: Roy W. Minter, Chief of Police

SUBJECT: Red Light Photo Enforcement Review

Purpose:

This is a request for City Council to review information regarding the Red Light Camera Photo Enforcement Safety Program by the Peoria Police Department. This update will brief Council on the program since its inception in January of 2008, to include violation and collision data.

Background/Summary:

In January of 2008, the Police Department began a red light photo enforcement traffic safety pilot program with the goal of reducing collisions and the frequency of red light violations. The Police Department identified four intersections in conjunction with Traffic Engineering and the vendor, Redflex Traffic Systems. Based upon collisions and a high frequency of red light violations, four intersections were identified for installation of photo enforcement equipment. The four identified intersections and traffic flow directions are:

- 83rd Avenue and Union Hills, southbound traffic
- 91st Avenue and Bell Road, eastbound and westbound traffic
- 75th Avenue and Thunderbird Road, eastbound and northbound traffic
- 83rd Avenue and Thunderbird Road, northbound and west bound traffic

After a thirty day warning period, violators began receiving citations on January 31, 2008. Installation of the photo enforcement equipment at the final intersection was completed on June 30, 2008. Revenue generated from this program funded a contractual employee at the Police Department to manage the program, as well as a contractual employee at the Municipal Court for processing the violations. This program has now been fully operational at all four intersections for three years.

Previous Actions:

The Police Department appeared before the City Council study session on August 25, 2009, to provide information regarding the initial results of collisions and violations that occurred since the inception of the program. The Police Department provided City Council an additional

update in January, 2011, regarding changes in yellow light timing and additional collision data at the listed intersections.

Options:

- A:** Continue the program and renew the contract with Redflex Traffic Systems.
- B:** Terminate the program with Redflex Traffic Systems upon its October 2, 2011, expiration.
- C:** Temporarily suspend the program and no longer issue citations as a result of red light violations based upon photo enforcement technology. During the suspension of the program, keep the red light camera infrastructure technology in place without the use of strobe light camera flash to collect post program data on all red light violations. This data could be used in the future to analyze post program traffic violations for the purpose of assessing the impact suspending the program has on violations and collisions.

Staff's Recommendation:

The Red Light Camera Photo Enforcement Safety Program has not met the goal of reducing collisions at the monitored intersections, however, the goal of reducing the frequency of red light violations has been met. The Police Department is recommending that the contract with Redflex Traffic Systems not be renewed upon Council review and approval.

Fiscal Analysis:

Based upon Council input and direction, fiscal analysis will be required by the Budget Department regarding any changes and impact to the revenue and staffing of the program.

Narrative:

Council input is being sought as to the future direction of photo enforcement technology.

Exhibit(s):

Exhibit 1: Letter from Jacob T.N. Young, Ph.D.

Exhibit 2: Email from Soyoung Ahn

Contact Name and Number: Ms. Teresa Corless, X7035.

Jacob T.N. Young, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor
School of Criminology and Criminal Justice
411 N. Central Ave., Suite 600
Phoenix, AZ 85012
T 602-496-1343
Jacob.Young.1@asu.edu

August 30, 2011

Roy Minter
Peoria Chief of Police

Dear Chief Minter,

At your request, I would like to provide you a briefing of my discussion with Lieutenant Douglas Steele regarding the Peoria Red Light Camera Project Peoria. Lieutenant Steele provided me an overview of the program and described his evaluation of the program. In addition, we discussed, in detail, how I would approach an evaluation of the program. We both came to the conclusions that there is little evidence to show that the program substantially altered the number of accidents at their locations. Examination of the trends in accidents indicates that there are no observable deviations in the trends to indicate a causal relationship between the program and accidents. In its entirety, I found Lieutenant Steele's evaluation to be fair, unbiased, and sophisticated in both the examination procedure and interpretation. If you have further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely yours,

Jacob Young

From: Soyoung Ahn [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, August 24, 2011 1:36 PM
To: Claudia Lujan
Cc: Joe Kullman
Subject: RE: Red Light Camera Study

Hello Claudia,

Officer Steele and I discussed the progress of the City of Peoria Red Light Camera program on Aug 19, 2011. Based on the statistics presented to me, it seems unlikely that the Red Light Camera program had a clear, positive impact on safety improvement (in terms of crash frequency and severity). However, there is some uncertainty associated with this conclusion due primarily to limited data availability. Ideally, we would have:

1. data for a longer period, at least 3 years before and after introducing the program, to account for random fluctuations in crash frequency. (Currently, data are available only for one year before the implementation and two or three years after.)
2. other supporting data in the same analysis period, such as traffic data (AADT) to control for exposure and seasonal trends, weather data, and so on. (Unfortunately, AADT data are available sporadically since 2007.)

This shortcoming may be overcome by employing a state-of-the-art technique such as the Empirical Bays method. The feasibility of employing this method will require a thorough assessment of data availability including detailed geometric design elements of intersections (with and without red light cameras), traffic volume, traffic composition, and so on. This type of analysis will most likely require expertise in statistical analysis and modeling for traffic safety assessment.

Despite the shortcomings, I am inclined to support that the Red Light program was not effective for the following reason.

Several basic crash statistics showed that the numbers of key crash events (e.g., fatal crashes, angle crashes, and total crashes) actually increased after the implementation. This trend was consistent for 2 or 3 years at the treated intersections. (Notably, one of these intersections had a construction zone in 2009, which may explain the increase in crashes.) Although traffic data to examine the exposure were not available for several years, it seems unlikely that traffic volumes at these intersections have increased significantly since the implementation. For what I understand, there have not been any changes to the treated intersections (e.g., new shopping mall, added lane, etc.) with the exception of the construction at one location. Some other statistics show that traffic level in the Greater Phoenix area has not increased significantly in the past few years due to the slow economy. In summary, there is no strong evidence for me to believe that the increases in crash events occurred due to increased exposure/traffic volume.

This is my assessment based on the available data and analysis result. I would be happy to discuss this with you further if you like.

Sincerely,

Soyoung

**CITY OF PEORIA, ARIZONA
COUNCIL COMMUNICATION**

SS#2

Date Prepared: September 7, 2011

Council Meeting Date: September 13, 2011

TO: Honorable Mayor & City Council
FROM: Susan K. Thorpe, Deputy City Manager
THROUGH: Carl Swenson, City Manager
SUBJECT: Council Subcommittees Study Session

Purpose:

This is a request for City Council to review the enclosed information related to Council Subcommittees in preparation for the September 13th Study Session. We look forward to hearing the Council discussion and we will be ready to move ahead accordingly.

Background/Summary:

Council began the discussion of subcommittees at its workshop in January. Since that time, various members of the City Council have discussed the idea, and it was brought back for consideration at a study session on June 14, 2011. By consensus, the Council asked City staff to gather information about subcommittees, how they would work, the impact on staff and/or fiscal impact, and an implementation plan, and provide this information to Council during the summer break. This would give Council members time to review the information so they would be prepared for discussion at a future study session and potentially take formal Council action soon thereafter.

In order to begin this process, the City Manager formed a team of staff members in May who began gathering information and compiling data received from other cities. Team members working on the subcommittee information and implementation plan include:

- Carl Swenson, City Manager
- Susan Thorpe, Deputy City Manager (Team Leader)
- Wanda Nelson, City Clerk
- Rhonda Geriminsky, Chief Deputy City Clerk
- Steve Kemp, City Attorney
- Brent Mattingly, Finance Director
- Claudia Lujan, Assistant to the City Manager
- Tammy Shreeve, Council Office and Grant Program Manager
- Corina Russo, Senior Executive Assistant

As a result of the team's work, a number of resources and options have been compiled that we believe will be helpful in preparing for Council consideration on August 23rd. City Manager

Swenson and Deputy City Manager Thorpe met with Councilmember Pearson in July to understand his intent regarding the concepts and implementation of subcommittees, as reflected in the draft Council Policy he provided at the June 14th study session.

Previous Actions:

The City Council discussed Council Subcommittees at a study session on June 14, 2011. Staff sent a packet of information to Council on August 3rd with alternatives. Council met in study sessions on August 23rd and September 6th to discuss the policy and work through the issues.

Options:

The Council can select from a number of options. A few include:

- A. Move forward with establishing subcommittees according to one of the alternatives provided, or any modification thereof.
- B. Direct staff to gather more information on other options (for example, additional study sessions each month, establish Policy Meetings, conduct monthly or quarterly workshops, etc.)
- C. Continue operating with the current subcommittees.

Staff's Recommendation:

Staff recommends that the Council discuss the options provided in the previous information packets that have been provided, along with other Council ideas related to subcommittees and other methods of policy discussion. After discussion, Council can provide staff with clear direction so that we can move forward with implementation on the selected alternative.

Fiscal Analysis:

The impact will be mainly in terms of the value of staff time rather than hard dollar expenditures. If the Council selects moving forward with subcommittees, we estimate a range of five to ten hours of staff time per month for each subcommittee.

If Council determines that subcommittees are a core service, staff is ready to dedicate sufficient resources to make this successful. We will re-prioritize discretionary or less core services in order to dedicate the time needed to provide quality staff involvement.

Narrative:

We look forward to the Council discussion and we will be ready to move forward accordingly.

Exhibit 1: Summary of information and alternatives, including updated draft Council Policy reflecting changes of August 23rd and September 6th.

Exhibit 2: (not enclosed) Binder with Information mailed on August 3, 2011

Contact Name and Number: Susan Thorpe, Deputy City Manager, ext. 7318



CITY COUNCIL POLICY

***** STAFF PROPOSED DRAFT, WITH
COMMENTS FROM AUG 23 & SEPT 6 *****

TITLE:

*Council Committees to include Standing Policy
Subcommittees, Ad Hoc Committees and Regional
Committees*

CP - -

[ASSIGNED BY CMO]

Category: *[One of pre-existing
list]*

Department:
*[Originating or responsible
department]*

Approved:
[Date of Council Meeting]

A. Purpose

Pursuant to City Charter and City Code, this document institutes policy to establish, modify and terminate Council standing policy subcommittees, ad hoc committees and regional committees and describe their powers, duties, and responsibilities.

B. General Provisions

- The Mayor shall file with the City Clerk a list of all subcommittees, ad hoc committees and regional committees, together with the members, chairperson, and charge of each subcommittee, ad hoc committee and regional committee. Any changes in subcommittee, ad hoc committee or regional committee information shall also be filed with the City Clerk.
- CP 1-6 Public Body Meeting Procedures, shall govern all standing subcommittee and ad hoc committee meetings.
- Pursuant to City Code 2-63 City Manager-City Council relations, a standing policy subcommittee, ad hoc committee and its individual members shall deal with the administrative services of the city only through the City Manager, except for the purpose of inquiry. Neither a subcommittee or ad hoc committee nor any member thereof shall give orders or instructions to any subordinates of the City Manager.

Comment [W1]: Suggested change to delete this wording

Standing Policy Subcommittees

The purpose of standing policy subcommittees is to address policy issues as defined in Section E. of this Council Policy. Subcommittees are intended to be a public forum for council members "to make inquiries, analyze information, and provide recommendations" not for departmental oversight. The purpose is not to address administrative matters,

procedures or practices or to give direction to city staff regarding either policy or administrative matters.

1. The Mayor [optional - with the consent of the Council] shall establish various Council standing subcommittees to include but not limited to those in Section D of this document.
2. The Mayor [optional - with the consent of the Council] shall appoint three Council Members to each Council standing policy subcommittee for a one year term. The Mayor shall make a good faith effort to equalize membership on subcommittees among the six Council Members. The Mayor [optional - with the consent of the Council] may remove a subcommittee member.
3. Subcommittees are subject to Open Meeting Laws, rules of procedure and other laws and regulations deemed applicable by the City Attorney.
4. The Mayor may be a non-voting ex-officio member of all subcommittees, if he/she chooses.
5. Approximately one month prior to the first regular Council meeting of the calendar year, the Mayor shall inquire of subcommittee members any requests for subcommittee appointments. The Mayor will make a good faith effort to accommodate those requests. [Optional - Initial appointments and/or changes shall be reviewed by the Council for possible consent at the first regular Council meeting of the calendar year.]
6. One month prior to the first regular Council meeting each year, the Mayor may reassign subcommittee memberships, based on councilmember interests. [Optional - Changes shall be reviewed by the Council for possible consent at the first regular Council meeting of the calendar year.]
7. Members of each subcommittee shall select a chairperson at the first subcommittee meeting of the calendar year. The chairperson shall determine the agenda for the subcommittee consistent with the subcommittee's charge. A specific date and time each month will be set [during normal City business hours] for each subcommittee. However, meetings will be held only as needed based on agenda items.
8. The City Manager shall assign appropriate staff and resources to support subcommittee meetings.

Comment [W2]: Suggested change: delete the word "optional"

Comment [W3]: Suggested change: delete the word "optional"

Comment [W4]: Suggested change: delete the word "optional"

Comment [W5]: Suggested change: delete the word "optional"

Comment [W6]: Suggested change: delete the word "optional"

Comment [W7]: Suggested change: delete the word "during normal City business hours"

9. The City Manager shall create an administrative procedure to ensure the proper and efficient functioning of the subcommittee process. The procedure shall be followed by all Council members and staff to ensure appropriate roles and responsibilities and relationships are adhered to by all parties.
10. The Mayor and individual Council Members may request an item be placed on a subcommittee agenda for the purpose of collecting information, providing analysis, and making recommendations to the City Council.
11. The chairperson of a subcommittee shall place an item requested by the Mayor or individual Council Members for discussion on the subcommittee agenda in a timely manner without undue delay.
12. The City Manager shall make the final decision about which subcommittee an item is assigned to, based on subject matter.
13. The City Manager or the Council acting as a body may refer matters to a subcommittee(s) for the purpose of collecting information, providing analysis, and making recommendations to the City Council.
14. Referrals and individual requests for discussion items to a subcommittee(s) must be in a format approved by consensus of the City Council. This format ensures that all Council members understand how to get an item on a subcommittee agenda.
15. Subcommittees shall conduct public meetings on all matters referred to them in a timely manner without undue delay. Subcommittee agendas shall conform to Council rules. Citizen participation is encouraged.
16. When an item is referred to the Council as a whole from a subcommittee, it shall be referred in one of two ways: (1) with a unanimous recommendation or, (2) lacking a unanimous recommendation, it shall be referred without a recommendation. There may be a separate staff recommendation on subcommittee items forwarded to City Council.
17. All items referred from a subcommittee shall be reviewed by the Council sitting as a committee of the whole in study session in a timely manner without undue delay. However, if an item is considered routine, of a time-sensitive or emergency nature, it may go directly to the Council for formal action.

Comment [W8]: Suggested change: Adding the words, "or the City Manager" to the end of this sentence.

Comment [W9]: It was suggested that these items be reworded to provide clarity on the placement or referral of items

Comment [W10]: It was suggested that this section be changed to reflect that the City Manager will present a form

Comment [W11]: It was suggested that the statement, "No subcommittee can "kill" an item" be added to this section

18. The Council sitting as a committee of the whole in study session shall discuss the item referred from a subcommittee and determine that (1) the item should go to the full Council for formal action at a regular meeting, (2) further discussion is needed at a future Study Session, (3) further study is needed at the subcommittee level or at the staff level, or (4) no further discussion or action is needed.

19. Subcommittee meetings shall not be televised. No subcommittee shall be authorized to hold executive session except for the subcommittee charged with Council ethics investigations and only for that purpose.

Ad Hoc Committees

The purpose of ad hoc committees is to study, review, and make recommendations to the City Council regarding specific issues designated by the Mayor and Council.

1. The Mayor [optional] - with the consent of the Council] may establish, modify, and terminate ad hoc committees of the Council and charge them with their powers, duties, and responsibilities.
2. The Mayor [optional] - with the consent of Council] shall appoint and remove the members and chairperson for each ad hoc committee.
3. Membership of an ad hoc committee may include citizens and up to three Council Members.
4. Ad hoc committees shall be established only for a limited, clearly defined, time and at the end of the designated time shall automatically be abolished unless the time is extended by the Mayor [optional] - with the consent of the Council].

Comment [W12]: Suggested change: delete the word "optional"

Comment [W13]: Suggested change: delete the word "optional"

Comment [W14]: Suggested change: delete the word "optional"

Regional Committees

Pursuant to Article 2 Section 7 of the City Charter, the Mayor is the sole representative of the city on regional committees. The Mayor may appoint one or more Council Members to represent the Mayor on a regional committee either temporarily or for an extended time. Examples of regional committees include the Maricopa Association of Governments, Regional Public Transportation Authority and Westmarc.

C. Intent of this Policy

1. It is the intent of this document to modify and affirm the standing policy subcommittee, ad hoc committee and regional committee process, pursuant to City Charter and City Code.
2. It is the intent of this document to allow Mayor and Council Members through the subcommittee process to make inquiries, analyze information, and provide recommendations to the City Council. This process will engage Council members and the public more thoroughly in city-related policy discussions in an informal public setting, encouraging greater citizen and Council input on policy creation at inception.
3. The option of rotating standing policy subcommittee membership on an annual basis is intended to provide Council members with broad knowledge of the city in order to enhance Council policy making.
4. It is the intent of this document that discussion of issues with potential city policy implications be discussed at the subcommittee level. All issues will be referred with or without a recommendation to the Council as a whole in a study session or at a regular Council Meeting if of a routine, time-sensitive or emergency nature..
5. It is the intent of this document that the City Manager and Mayor, in the course of their duties, shall refer items they believe may impact city policy or require study, analysis or recommendation to a standing policy subcommittee(s).
6. It is NOT the intent of this document that the City Manager and Mayor refer items to a subcommittee(s) that they deem routine in nature or that do not impact city policy.
7. It is the intent of this document to acknowledge that from time to time issues which impact city policy may be of an emergency or time sensitive nature which require immediate Council review and will bypass the subcommittee procedure at the recommendation of the City Manager and Mayor. These occurrences, however, will be the exception and not the rule.

Comment [W15]: Suggested change: delete the word "will" and insert, "is intended to"

Comment [W16]: Suggested change: delete the words, "or at a regular Council meeting if of a routine, time-sensitive or emergency nature"

D. Subcommittee Titles and Descriptions –The list below is illustrative of the types of policy issues each subcommittee will discuss. The full Council will determine the specific charge of each subcommittee, and shall confirm or amend that charge on an annual basis.

1. **Community Culture and Neighborhoods**

Relating to:

Arts, Libraries, Education, Cultural Events & Promotions, Parks, Recreation, Veteran's Affairs, Police & Fire Services, Code Enforcement, Emergency Preparedness, Homeland Security, Terrorism

Category: _
Title: _
CP _-_
Page 6 of 7

2. **Community and Economic Development**

Relating to:

Not-for-Profit & Housing, Environment, Building Safety, Revitalization, Planning & Zoning, Economic Development, Business Retention, Transportation, Public Works, Streets, Utilities, Technology, Historic Preservation

3. **Policy and Appointments**

Relating to:

Appointments to Boards and Commissions, Ethics, Charter Officers, Council Policy, City Charter, Elections

4. **General Government**

Relating to:

Taxes, Budget and Finance, Rates and Fees, Inter Gov, General Government Activities

E. Definitions

1. Policy: A principle or rule to guide decisions and achieve rational outcome(s). The term is not normally used to denote what is actually done; this is normally referred to as either procedure or protocol. A policy will contain the 'what' and the 'why'. Procedures or protocols contain the 'what', the 'how', the 'where', and the 'when'. Policies are generally adopted by the Board of or senior governance body within an organization whereas procedures or protocols are developed and adopted by senior executive officers. (<http://wiki.answers.com>)

OR

Policy: The rules, guidelines and procedures designed to influence and determine all major decisions and actions by the City of Peoria. It outlines the ways, either proactive or reactive, in which Peoria government intends to conduct its affairs and how it addresses the internal and external views of the City of Peoria. (Original draft CP – Cmbr Pearson)

2. **Consent:** Majority vote of the Peoria City Council in a regular or special meeting.

3. **Committee of the Whole:** The Mayor and City Council sitting together engaging in informal debate as if in committee; this committee may limit number or length of speeches or close debate by other means by a 2/3 vote. All votes, however, are formal.

Category: _
Title: _
CP _-_
Page 7 of 7

4. **Regular Meetings.** Regular Meetings are held for the purpose of discussion or action of the Council on various issues deemed necessary to further the business of the City. Regular Meetings may include Consent Agenda items.

5. **Study Sessions.** Study Sessions are held for the purpose of presentations and discussions on such issues that require more in-depth consideration of the City Council. No formal action of the City Council may be taken at such meetings, other than general consensus or conveying direction to staff for further action. These meetings shall not provide for a "Call to the Public".



City Council Calendar

Color Key:
City Council

< August	September 2011						October >
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday	
				1	2	3	
4	5	6  Special Regular City Council Meeting  Special Meeting and Study Session	7	8	9  City Council Workshop	10	
11	12	13  City Council Special Study Session	14	15	16	17	
18	19	20  Regular City Council Meeting  Special Meeting and Study Session	21	22	23	24	
25	26	27	28	29	30		



City Council Calendar

Color Key:
City Council

< September	October 2011					November >
Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
						1
2	3	4  Special Meeting and Study Session	5	6	7	8
9	10	11	12	13	14	15
16	17	18  Regular City Council Meeting  Special Meeting and Study Session	19	20	21	22
23	24	25	26	27	28	29
30	31					

**CITY OF PEORIA, ARIZONA
COUNCIL COMMUNICATION – CITY MANAGER’S REPORT**

CMRa

Date Prepared: September 8, 2011

Council Meeting Date: September 13, 2011

TO: Mayor and Council
FROM: Carl Swenson, City Manager
SUBJECT: Budget Update

Purpose:

The purpose of this presentation is to provide City Council a financial summary of FY2011 revenues and expenditures as well as an overview of current economic and financial activity and trends that impact the City’s budget.

Background/Summary:

These presentations are intended to describe the general economic indicators and how they relate to the overall financial condition of the city.

Previous Actions:

From time to time the Management and Budget Office provide Council with economic and financial information.

Options:

There is no action required in this item.

Staff’s Recommendation:

No Council action is required, presentation is for informational purposes only.

Fiscal Analysis:

Discussion will focus on the overall economy and its effect on the city’s finances.

Contact Name and Number: Katie Gregory, Interim Management and Budget Director (x7364)